

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 Cymeyon Hill,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 Liet Bullard, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:21-cv-02336-KJM-KJN

ORDER

17 In October 2022, this court adopted the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations,
18 dismissing plaintiff Cymeyon Hill's action against twelve prison officers. Prior Order (Oct. 27,
19 2022), ECF No. 15. A month later, Hill filed a document comprised of this court's prior order,
20 with handwritten text rejecting the court's subject matter jurisdiction and denying consent to the
21 proceedings. *See* Objections, ECF No. 17. This court liberally construes the filing as a motion to
22 reconsider the prior order.

23 While a court may relieve a party from an order under Rule 60 of the Federal Rules of
24 Civil Procedure for "mistake, inadvertence, fraud, or excusable neglect" or for "any other reason
25 that justifies relief," Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1), (b)(6), plaintiff does not claim new facts or
26 circumstances exist or otherwise offer a reason justifying relief, *see* E.D. Cal. R. 230(j)(3), (4).
27 "[A] motion for reconsideration should not be granted, absent highly unusual circumstances,
28 unless the district court is presented with newly discovered evidence, committed clear error, or if

1 there is an intervening change in the controlling law.” *389 Orange St. Partners v. Arnold*,
2 179 F.3d 656, 665 (9th Cir. 1999). The court **denies plaintiff’s request for reconsideration.**

3 This order resolves ECF No. 17.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED: December 5, 2022.

6 

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE